

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/527,981	Applicant(s) SHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner ELENI A. SHIFERAW	Art Unit 2436	

All Participants:

(1) ELENI A. SHIFERAW.

(2) Scott A. McKeown.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called the applicant's representative to solve 101 and 112 problems, delete hyperlink from the disclosure and to included dependent claims with the base claims to particularly point out the applicant's invention. The applicant's representative authorized the examiner to solve 101 and 102 problems, delete hyperlink from the disclosure and include limitations of claims 3 and 4 or 9 and 10 to base claims 1, 7 and 13, by examiner amendment, on 5/27/09 and 6/1/09.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Eleni A Shiferaw/
Examiner, Art Unit 2436

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

